

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION**

IN RE: COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY	)	MDL No. 3185
SOLUTIONS CORPORATION AND	)	Case No. 4:26-md-3185-JAR
TRIZETTO PROVIDER SOLUTIONS, LLC,	)	ALL CASES
DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION	)	
	)	

ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon receipt of the parties' status report identifying certain disagreements regarding the scope of preliminary discovery. ([Doc. 33](#)). As discussed on the record during the initial status conference on June 26, 2026, and stated in the Court's subsequent written order of the same date, the Court finds good cause, for the orderly progression of the case, that some early discovery is necessary to ensure that all proper healthcare provider defendants are named and all related claims are included in the consolidated complaint. The Court afforded the parties 45 days to conduct that limited discovery. ([Doc. 22](#)).

To that end, Plaintiffs propounded interrogatories and requests for production requesting (1) a list of entities that stored patient information using TriZetto's technology platform at the time of the data breach and potentially impacted by it, (2) for each entity, the number of patients whose information may have been impacted, organized by state jurisdiction, (3) for each entity, the types of private information compromised, (4) contracts or other documents with such entities relating to patient data storage, (5) the date, content, method, and individual recipients of TriZetto's notice to each such entity, and (6) Defendants' complete organizational chart. ([Doc. 33-1 at 10-11](#)).

Defendants object, asserting that discovery should be limited to the healthcare providers of the current named Plaintiffs. Defendants argued this position at the initial conference and the

Court disagreed, instead adopting Plaintiffs' position that this early discovery is appropriate. The identities of TriZetto customers impacted by the breach are discoverable, and the Court finds it most efficient to ascertain the universe of possible defendants and claims prior to the filing of a consolidated complaint.

However, the Court will limit discovery in certain respects. Defendants need not disclose the exact number of patients impacted through each healthcare provider in each state. A list of states where impacted patients reside and/or received care will suffice at this stage. Likewise, Defendants need not provide copies of all customer contracts. A list of them identifying the customer and the nature of the agreement will suffice. And Defendants need not disclose their entire corporate structure at this time but must identify any Cognizant subsidiaries involved in TriZetto's provision of data services to its customers (e.g. through a service agreement or other arrangement between affiliates).

Additionally, Defendants ask Plaintiffs to provide the name, birth date, postal address, email address, health insurance number, and Social Security number of each named Plaintiff. Defendants purport to require these details in order to produce the information Plaintiffs seek as to each named Plaintiff's healthcare provider. The Court fails to understand why Defendants would need private patient information to identify TriZetto's own customers. This information may be discoverable in the future but is outside the scope and purpose of this limited early discovery. Plaintiffs need not supply their personal information at this stage.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants are directed to respond to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories 1 through 6, except that, with respect to Interrogatory 2, Defendants need only provide a list of states where patients were affected and not the number of patients.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants need not respond to Plaintiffs' RFP 1 but are directed to respond to RFP 2. With respect to RFP 3, Defendants need only identify any Cognizant subsidiaries involved in TriZetto's provision of data services to its customers.

IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that Plaintiffs need not provide their personal information to Defendants at this stage.

Dated this 15th day of July 2026.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "John A. Ross", is positioned above a horizontal line.

JOHN A. ROSS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE