

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION**

IN RE: COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY)	MDL No. 3185
SOLUTIONS CORPORATION AND)	Case No. 4:26-md-3185-JAR
TRIZETTO PROVIDER SOLUTIONS, LLC,)	ALL CASES
DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION)	
)	

INITIAL ORDER UPON TRANSFER

1. This order will govern the practice and procedure for actions transferred to this Court by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (JPML) pursuant to its order of June 5, 2026, and related actions filed in or transferred or removed to this Court, including upon conditional transfer. The consolidated actions to date are listed on Schedule A. This Order shall also apply to any tag-along actions later filed in or transferred or removed to this Court. All of these actions are coordinated for pretrial purposes only.

2. Counsel who appeared in the transferor district court prior to transfer need not enter a separate appearance or file a *pro hac vice* motion before this Court. So long as counsel are members in good standing of any United States District Court, neither association of local counsel nor *pro hac vice* admissions are required.

3. All pleadings and papers shall be filed electronically using the Court's Electronic Case Filing ("ECF") system. Any counsel representing any party in these cases must have a CM/ECF registration with the Eastern District of Missouri. Forms and instructions can be found on the Court's Web site at www.moed.uscourts.gov under the CM/ECF tab. Counsel must use their own CM/ECF registrations. The lawyer whose name appears on the signature line of any submission must be the filing lawyer.

4. **All documents shall be filed only in the main case number 4:26-md-3185-JAR and not in the transferor court.** All papers filed in these actions shall bear this case number. When such papers relate to all of these actions, the case number shall be followed by the notation “ALL CASES.” If such papers do not relate to all of these actions, then the individual case number(s) assigned by this Court shall appear below the main case number. Where multiple parties intend to file substantially identical papers, they shall file one joint submission.

5. An initial case conference will be scheduled by separate order. Service of all papers shall be made on counsel of record as reflected on the docket. Counsel are directed to forward a copy of this Order to other attorneys who should be notified. Any lawyer wishing to be added to or deleted from the service list may do so through the ECF system.

6. Prior to the initial conference, counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants shall, to the extent they have not already done so, confer and seek consensus on the selection of a candidate for the position of liaison counsel for each group to serve as the primary contact person for the Court regarding administrative matters. Liaison counsel are authorized to receive orders and notices from the JPML and shall be responsible for the preparation and transmittal of copies of such orders and notices to all parties. Liaison counsel will be required to maintain complete files with copies of all documents served upon them in this case and shall make such files available to other parties upon request.


7. All pending deadlines, including responsive pleading and discovery deadlines, are stayed pending further order of this Court. No hearing shall be held on any motion except by order of Court upon such notice as the Court may direct. Any orders, including protective orders, previously entered by this Court or any transferor district court shall remain in effect unless modified by this Court. The parties shall preserve all documents and records, whether physical or electronic, containing information relevant to this litigation. For the parties’ planning purposes, it

is the Court's intent to stay discovery until after the appointment of lead counsel and the filing of a consolidated complaint.

8. In accordance with Eastern District of Missouri Local Rule 4.04, attorneys shall communicate with the Court in writing by motion, memorandum, status report, or other filing on the record, except as permitted in the undersigned's Chambers Requirements or as otherwise directed by the Court. Counsel are directed to familiarize themselves with the Court's Local Rules (particularly Rules 4.01 and 13.05), the procedures for Case Management/Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF), and the undersigned's Chambers Requirements, all available at www.moed.uscourts.gov. Separate notices of motions are unnecessary. All exhibits must be identified with a description of the document as required by Section II.F of the CM/ECF manual. Courtesy copies and proposed orders are unnecessary unless requested by the Court.

9. All other matters will be discussed at the initial conference.

Dated this 11th day of June 2026.



JOHN A. ROSS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SCHEDULE A – CONSOLIDATED CASES¹

MDL No. 3185

**In re: Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation and TriZetto Provider Solutions, LLC,
Data Security Breach Litigation**

Eastern District of Missouri

Niessing v. TriZetto Provider Solutions, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 4:25-01861
Wheeler v. TriZetto Provider Solutions LLC, et al., C.A. No. 4:25-01888
Corbray, et al. v. TriZetto Provider Solutions LLC, et al., C.A. No. 4:26-00013
Limbos Vadla v. Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 4:26-00070
Cleveland, et al. v. TriZetto Provider Solutions LLC, et al., C.A. No. 4:26-00264
Stone, et al. v. TriZetto Provider Solutions LLC, C.A. No. 4:26-00308
Hahn v. Open Door Community Health Centers, et al., C.A. No. 4:26-00800

District of New Jersey

Burge, et al. v. Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 2:25-18908
Lytle, et al. v. TriZetto Provider Solutions, LLC et al., C.A. No. 2:25-18938
Noble v. TriZetto Provider Solutions LLC et al., C.A. No. 2:25-18967
Trimble, et al. v. Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 2:25-18969
Scorpio v. Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 2:25-19027
Caldwell v. Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 2:25-19056
Wolf v. TriZetto Provider Solutions, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 2:26-02344
Lee v. Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 2:26-02444
Patterson v. Cognizant Technology Solutions Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:26-02570
Madoff v. Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 2:26-02634
Sawyer v. TriZetto Provider Solutions, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 2:26-02698
*Harris Vaughn v. Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation, et al., C.A. 2:26-02881
*Buser v. TriZetto Provider Solutions LLC et al., C.A. No. 2:26-03156
*Billingslea et al. v. Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 2:26-03394
*Barnett v. Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 2:26-03525
*Halcarz v. Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 2:26-03880

¹ Asterisks denote conditional transfer as at the date of this Order.

Eastern District of California

Taylor v. TriZetto Provider Solutions, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 2:26-00086

*Carucci v. Cares Community Health, C.A. No. 2:26-01314

Middle District of Florida

*Baines v. TriZetto Provider Solutions LLC, C.A. No. 3:26-01246