	NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT ASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION			
UNITED STATES OF A	MERICA,)) Plaintiff,)			
v .)) No. 4:16-CV-180-CDP)			
CITY OF FERGUSON, I	MISSOURI,)			
	Defendant.)			
EXCERPT OF RULING FROM MOTION HEARING				
	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CATHERINE D. PERRY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
APRIL 19, 2016				
APPEARANCES :				
For Plaintiff:	Jude J. Volek, Esq. Christy Ellen Lopez, Esq. Amy Senier, Esq. Charles Wesley Hart Ir - Eag			
	Charles Wesley Hart, Jr., Esq. Chiraag Bains, Esq. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE			
For Defendant:	Dan Keith Webb, Esq. Jared Lyons Hasten, Esq. WINSTON & STRAWN LLP			
	Stephanie Karr, Esq. CURTIS, HEINZ, GARRETT & O'KEEFE			
REPORTED BY:	Gayle D. Madden, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter United States District Court 111 South Tenth Street, Third Floor St. Louis MO 63102 (314) 244-7987			
(Produced by o	St. Louis, MO 63102 (314) 244-7987 computer-aided mechanical stenography.)			

2 THE COURT: All right. First, I want to thank 1 2 everybody here for their comments today. I found this hearing to be quite helpful, and I appreciated hearing from all of the 3 members of the community who spoke. It certainly added to my, 4 5 I guess, understanding. I think I understood it already from the law and the things the parties had filed, but certainly 6 7 adding seeing the people involved makes a big difference, and I appreciate hearing from everyone who spoke. 8 So I've carefully reviewed the Consent Decree and 9 10 listened to the arguments and the comments and considered all 11 the legal issues, and I will approve the Consent Decree. It 12 will be effective today. Whether it gets docketed today or 13 tomorrow, I'm not sure. I'm not going to write a separate 14 written opinion about my approval. I'm simply stating it to 15 you orally on the record, and this portion, the things I am 16 saying right now, will be transcribed and docketed at the 17 court expense. Obviously, if anybody wants a transcript of the rest of the hearing today, they have to follow the normal 18 19 procedures and order it and pay for it. However, this is my 20 opinion. 21 I don't think that a lengthy legal opinion is 22 necessary. The law is very well-established as to what I

24 And just to back up, obviously, in this case, the United 25 States alleged in the complaint that the City of Ferguson

should consider in approving a consent decree such as this.

1 through its law enforcement officials and municipal court 2 system engaged in a pattern and practice of conduct that deprived the people of the rights protected by the First, 3 Fourth, and Fourteenth Amendment to the United States 4 5 Constitution, and the City of Ferguson has denied that it 6 engaged or engages in any unconstitutional practices. This is 7 how all lawsuits are. The parties disagree about the merits 8 of the case. This is perfectly normal.

9 However, as in most lawsuits, they have agreed to settle the case. I believe the settlement they've reached is 10 11 fair, adequate, and reasonable to address the allegations made by the Plaintiff, the United States. The Consent Decree is 12 13 tailored to address the United States' allegations. The 14 matters contained in it, although it is very detailed, are 15 directly tailored to address the allegations made in the 16 complaint.

It is consistent with the public interests, including the purposes of 42 United States Code § 14141, which prohibits any pattern or practice of conduct by law enforcement officers that deprives people of rights, privileges, or immunities protected by the U.S. Constitution.

It is also consistent with the purposes of 42 U.S.C. S 2000d, otherwise known as Title VI, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin in any programs receiving federal funds.

1	4 It is the result of arm's length negotiation, and it
2	avoids the unnecessary costs and delay of litigation. And I
3	will simply say that the well, I also think I find that
4	it's the most effective and efficient means of resolving the
5	claims and ensuring constitutional and effective law
6	enforcement in Ferguson. The alternative to moving forward on
7	this consent decree would be litigation, as I stated earlier,
8	and certainly, if that were appropriate, I would have ordered
9	that. That would have meant, I'm sure, several years' worth
10	of discovery, document production, interrogatories,
11	depositions, motions, and then ultimately a trial. Trials of
12	this type of case, where they have happened, are obviously
13	lengthy and result in a if the if the plaintiff wins
14	result in a remedy that may not be as good for the citizens of
15	the community as one that is negotiated, and that is why this
16	negotiated settlement is is effective and efficient and
17	does avoid the unnecessary costs and delay of the litigation.
18	It's far better for the citizens of Ferguson to start
19	implementing the remedy proposed by the Consent Decree now
20	rather than spending a huge amount of money on both sides
21	litigating the case and then ultimately, perhaps, doing
22	exactly the same thing several years down the road. So I
23	think it's in everyone's best interests, and I think it's in
24	the interests of justice.

25

Γ

I have looked at both procedural and substantive

1	5 fairness in reaching this decision. The procedural fairness,
2	I think, is amply met in this case. Although some people have
3	complained that they weren't involved in the negotiation of
4	the settlement agreement, the parties to the case were
5	involved in the negotiation, and they sought input of the
6	public, and I think the comments here today and those that
7	were provided in writing although some people disagree with
8	the way this was handled, I think people have had a chance to
9	have their positions heard, and so that it is a procedurally
10	fair the result of a procedurally fair process. I believe
11	it is substantively fair, as I've stated, on the merits for
12	the reasons stated before.

13 I mentioned at the beginning of this hearing that my 14 job under the law -- I'm not allowed to rewrite a settlement 15 agreement, but I wouldn't do that anyway in this case. Ι 16 believe that this agreement is appropriate as it is written. 17 The parties recognize that it may not be perfect and not 18 everybody got everything they wanted, but that is what happens 19 in settlements, and with any settlement, as with any 20 settlement, the parties to the case know it best and know what 21 is reasonable.

The Court is not a rubber stamp. I have independently reviewed these provisions and studied the facts and the allegations and the things presented to me, and after that careful study, I believe that the parties did get it 1 right and this is a reasonable resolution.

2 The public has an overwhelming interest in seeing that policing and municipal court practices are done in 3 compliance with the Constitution of the United States. I 4 5 believe that this settlement agreement will result in that 6 happening in the city of Ferguson. I do want to comment on a 7 couple of things. The agreement does not have every single 8 policy that will -- will govern what is going on in Ferguson, 9 but that will be -- as was pointed out by the Department of 10 Justice lawyer just a moment ago, those policies will be the 11 outcome from the process that is set out in the agreement. 12 It's not appropriate to put every single detail in an 13 agreement such as this, and we all know, at 130 pages or 14 whatever it is, every single detail would have just made it 15 that much harder, and I think it's plenty detailed as it is.

16 I also find that both sides in this matter have shown 17 good faith in their negotiating. This was an arm's length negotiating -- negotiation. I understand that there are 18 19 people who are not happy with either side. I've received many 20 comments that said that they believe the Department of Justice 21 was biased in its approach. I received many comments and 22 heard more today about people saying, "We can't trust the 23 City." I understand both sides have strong feelings about 24 these things, but based on what I have seen, I believe this 25 was the product of good faith and both parties acted in good

1 faith.

2	Additionally, good faith implementation will be
3	required. It will be required by me and this court and the
4	justice system, meaning the court system. The monitor who
5	will be appointed and, obviously, I have no idea who that
6	will be, but I will expect that that person will take the
7	responsibilities very seriously and will report to me as well
8	as to others if this is not being implemented in good faith on
9	both sides. So I have full expectations that this will
10	happen, and there's no reason to believe that it will not.

11 This investigation, obviously, grew out of a horrible 12 tragedy that has affected the people in this room and the 13 citizens of Ferguson as well as the people of the region. But 14 this is in the best interests of everyone to move forward with 15 this Consent Decree.

16 One of the concerns I have -- and this came from not 17 only reading the things that have been presented to me here 18 today and listening to the comments but also from my common 19 sense and my simply knowing what's gone on in this metro area 20 for the last couple of years. I am concerned that because 21 there are such strong feelings here not everyone involved 22 treats one another with the respect that we all owe one 23 another as citizens. I am imploring all of the members of the 24 community, no matter what you think of this or what faction or 25 side you may be on, to approach this with an attitude of

1	o respect and to give it your best shot. If we disrespect one
2	another, if we're yelling and hollering at each other or
3	threatening one another, this won't work. You all have to
4	approach it as citizens and come together, and I hope that you
5	will do that.

I have been very impressed with how everyone has 6 7 behaved here in court. People were worried. When I said I 8 was having this hearing, various people involved in the court 9 system said, "What are you going to do if everybody starts 10 jumping up and screaming and yelling?" And I said, "People 11 don't do that in court. They know how to behave." And you 12 all have done so, and I really appreciate that. So behave 13 that way when you're dealing with one another in the community too. It's what you ought to do, and it's the right thing. 14 15 Give this a chance to work. I think that it really will work 16 and that you all have come up with a solution that I hope will 17 work.

18 And also, of course, I will be paying attention to it 19 and following my duties.

So I will sign the decree. I will expect to hear from the parties in due course when it is time for me to consider the things that I have to consider in the decree. I will say that when I went through it I certainly circled every time it said the Court will do this and the Court will do that because I'll be watching for it, but I leave it to you all,

		9
1	and I think you've done a good job here today. It's a good	5
2	first step, and let's see if it will work.	
3	Okay. So I will sign the decree, and this is my	
4	ruling. Court's in recess.	
5	(Proceedings concluded at 3:25 p.m.)	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CERTIFICATE

I, Gayle D. Madden, Registered Diplomate Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, hereby certify that I am a duly appointed Official Court Reporter of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri.

I further certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings held in the above-entitled case and that said transcript is a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that this transcript contains pages 1 through 9 inclusive.

Dated at St. Louis, Missouri, this 19th day of April, 2016.

/s/ Gayle D. Madden GAYLE D. MADDEN, CSR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter